# Digital Logic Design: a rigorous approach (C) Chapter 12: Trees

Guy Even Moti Medina

School of Electrical Engineering Tel-Aviv Univ.

May 3, 2020

Book Homepage: <http://www.eng.tau.ac.il/~guy/Even-Medina>

- <sup>1</sup> Which Boolean functions are suited for implementation by tree-like combinational circuits?
- 2 In what sense are tree-like implementations optimal?

A binary Boolean function is a function  $f:\{0,1\}^2 \rightarrow \{0,1\}.$ 

A binary function is often denoted by a dyadic operator, say ∗. So instead of writing  $f(a, b)$ , we write  $a * b$ .

A binary Boolean function  $*: \{0,1\}^2 \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  is associative if

$$
(x_1 * x_2) * x_3 = x_1 * (x_2 * x_3),
$$

for every  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \{0, 1\}.$ 

One may omit parenthesis:  $x_1 * x_2 * x_3$  is well defined. Consider the function  $f_n: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  defined by

$$
f_n(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\triangleq x_1*\cdots*x_n
$$

# Extension of associative function

## Definition

Let  $f:\{0,1\}^2 \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  denote a Boolean function. The function  $f_n: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$ , for  $n \geq 1$ , is defined recursively as follows.

• If 
$$
n = 1
$$
, then  $f_1(x) = x$ .

**9** If 
$$
n = 2
$$
, then  $f_2 = f$ .

**3** If *n* > 2, then *f<sub>n</sub>* is defined based on *f<sub>n−1</sub>* as follows:

$$
f_n(x_1,x_2,\ldots x_n)\stackrel{\triangle}{=} f(f_{n-1}(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}),x_n).
$$

#### Claim

*If f* :  $\{0,1\}^2 \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  *is an associative Boolean function, then* 

$$
f_n(x_1, x_2, \ldots x_n) = f(f_{n-k}(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-k}), f_k(x_{n-k+1}, \ldots, x_n)),
$$

*for every n*  $> 2$  *and k*  $\in$  [1, *n*  $-$  1]*.* 

# Trees of associative Boolean gates

To simplify the presentation, consider the Boolean function  $OR_n$ .

## Definition

A combinational circuit  $H = (V, E, \pi)$  that satisfies the following conditions is called an OR-tree(n).

- <sup>1</sup> The graph *DG*(*H*) is a rooted tree with *n* sources.
- <sup>2</sup> Each vertex *v* in *V* that is not a source or a sink is labeled  $\pi(v) = \text{OR}.$
- **3** The set of labels of leaves of *H* is {*x*<sub>0</sub>, . . . , *x*<sub>n−1</sub> }.



A combinational circuit  $H = (V, E, \pi)$  that satisfies the following conditions is called an  $OR-tree(n)$ .

- <sup>1</sup> *Topology.* The graph *DG*(*H*) is a rooted tree with *n* sources.
- <sup>2</sup> Each vertex *v* in *V* that is not a source or a sink is labeled  $\pi(v) = \text{OR}.$
- **3** The set of labels of leaves of *H* is  $\{x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}\}$ .

#### Claim

*Every* OR-tree(n) *implements the Boolean function* OR<sub>n</sub>.

A Boolean formula  $\varphi$  is an OR(*n*) formula if it satisfies three conditions: (i) it is over the variables  $X_0, \ldots, X_{n-1}$ , (ii) every variable  $X_i$  appears exactly once in  $\varphi$ , and (iii) the only connective in  $\varphi$  is the OR connective.

#### Claim

*A Boolean circuit C is an* or(*n*)*-tree if and only if its graph (without the input/output gates) is a parse tree of an* or(*n*)*-formula.*

# Cost of  $OR-tree(n)$



## Claim

*The cost of every*  $OR-tree(n)$  *is*  $(n-1) \cdot c(OR)$ *.* 

#### Lemma

Let  $G = (V, E)$  *denote a rooted tree in which the in-degree of each vertex is at most two. Then*

 $|\{v \in V \mid deg_{in}(v) = 2\}| = |\{v \in V \mid deg_{in}(v) = 0\}| - 1.$ 

delay of an OR tree  $=$  number of OR-gates along the longest path from an input to an output.

## Definition (depth - nonstandard definition)

The depth of a rooted tree *T* is the maximum number of vertices with in-degree greater than one in a path in *T*. We denote the depth of *T* by *depth*(*T*).

Why is this nonstandard?

- Usually, depth is simply the length of the longest path.
- $\bullet$  Here we count only vertices with in-degree  $\geq 2$ .
- Why?
	- Input and output gates have zero delay (no computation)
	- Assume inverters are free and have zero delay (we will show that for  $OR(n)$  cost & delay are not reduced even if inverters are free and without delay)

A rooted tree is a binary tree if the maximum in-degree is two.

A rooted tree is a minimum depth tree if its depth is minimum among all the rooted trees with the same number of leaves. All binary trees with *n* leaves have the same cost. But, which have minimum depth?

- **1** if *n* that is a power of 2, then there is a unique minimum depth tree, namely, the perfect binary tree with  $\log_2 n$  levels.
- 2 if *n* is not a power of 2, then there is more than one minimum depth tree... (balanced trees)

# Are these minimum depth trees?



Figure: Two trees with six inputs.

## Claim

*If*  $T_n$  *is a rooted binary tree with n leaves, then the depth of*  $T_n$  *is at least*  $\lceil \log_2 n \rceil$ *.* 

- **1** Suffice to prove depth  $\geq \log_2 n$ .
- <sup>2</sup> Complete induction on *n*.

Min Depth: the case  $n = 2<sup>k</sup>$  (perfect binary trees)

The distance of a vertex *v* to the root *r* in a rooted tree is the length of the path from *v* to *r*.

#### Definition

A rooted binary tree is perfect if:

- The in-degree of every non-leaf is 2, and
- All leaves have the same distance to the root.

Note that the depth of a perfect tree equals the distance from the leaves to the root (no vertices with in-degree 1).

#### Claim

The number of leaves in a perfect tree is 2<sup>k</sup>, where k is the *distance of the leaves to the root.*

#### Claim

*Let n denote the number of leaves in a perfect tree. Then, the distance from every leaf to the root is*  $\log_2 n$ .

We now show that for every *n*, we can construct a minimum depth tree  $T_n^*$  of depth  $\lceil \log_2 n \rceil$ . In fact, if *n* is not a power of 2, then there are many such trees.

Two positive integers *a*, *b* are a balanced partition of *n* if:

 $\bullet$   $a + b = n$ , and

**9** max
$$
\{ \lceil \log_2 a \rceil, \lceil \log_2 b \rceil \} \leq \lceil \log_2 n \rceil - 1.
$$

## Claim

*If*  $n = 2^k - r$ , where  $0 \le r < 2^{k-1}$ , then the set of balanced *partitions is*

$$
P \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \{(a,b) \mid 2^{k-1} - r \le a \le 2^{k-1} \text{ and } b = n - a\}.
$$

**Algorithm 1** Balanced-Tree( $n$ ) - a recursive algorithm for constructing a binary tree  $T_n^*$  with  $n \geq 1$  leaves.

- **1** The case that  $n = 1$  is trivial (an isolated root).
- 2 If  $n > 2$ , then let *a*, *b* be balanced partition of *n*.
- **■** Compute trees  $T_a^*$  and  $T_b^*$  $_b^*$ . Connect their roots to a new root to obtain  $T_n^*$ .

## Definition

A rooted binary tree  $T_n$  is a **balanced tree** if it is a valid output of Algorithm Balanced-Tree(n).

# Def: balanced tree

**Algorithm 2** Balanced-Tree( $n$ ) - a recursive algorithm for constructing a binary tree  $T_n^*$  with  $n \geq 1$  leaves.

- **1** The case that  $n = 1$  is trivial (an isolated root).
- 2 If  $n > 2$ , then let *a*, *b* be balanced partition of *n*.
- **■** Compute trees  $T_a^*$  and  $T_b^*$  $b<sub>b</sub><sup>*</sup>$ . Connect their roots to a new root to obtain  $T_n^*$ .

#### Claim

*The depth of a binary tree T*<sup>∗</sup> n *constructed by Algorithm Balanced-Tree(n) is*  $\lceil \log_2 n \rceil$ *.* 

### **Corollary**

*The propagation delay of a balanced* OR-tree(n) *is*  $\lceil \log_2 n \rceil \cdot t_{\text{pd}}(\text{OR})$ .

Goals: prove optimality of a balanced OR-tree(n).

#### Theorem

Let  $C_n$  *denote a combinational circuit that implements*  $OR_n$ . Then,

 $c(C_n) > n-1$ .

#### Theorem

Let C<sub>n</sub> denote a combinational circuit that implements OR<sub>n</sub>. Let k *denote the maximum fan-in of a gate in C*n*. Then*

 $t_{nd}(\mathcal{C}_n) > \lceil \log_k n \rceil$ .

Let  $\mathit{flip}_i : \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}^n$  be the Boolean function defined by  $\mathit{flip}_i(\vec{x}) \triangleq \vec{y}$ , where

$$
y_j \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \begin{cases} x_j & \text{if } j \neq i \\ \text{NOT}(x_j) & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}
$$

# Definition (Cone of a Boolean function)

The cone of a Boolean function  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  is defined by

$$
cone(f) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \{i : \exists \vec{v} \text{ such that } f(\vec{v}) \neq f(\text{flip}_i(\vec{v}))\}
$$

#### Example

*cone*( $XOR$ ) = {1, 2}.

We say that  $f$  depends on  $x_i$  if  $i \in cone(f)$ .

Consider the following Boolean function:

$$
f(\vec{x}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sum_i x_i < 3\\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Suppose that one reveals the input bits one by one. As soon as 3 ones are revealed, one can determine the value of  $f(\vec{x})$ . Nevertheless, the function  $f(\vec{x})$  depends on all its inputs, and hence,  $cone(f) = \{1, ..., n\}.$ 

# Claim

# $cone(f) = \emptyset \Longleftrightarrow f$  *is a constant Boolean function.*

# Claim

*If*  $g(\vec{x}) \triangleq B(f_1(\vec{x}), f_2(\vec{x}))$ *, then* 

$$
\mathsf{cone}(g) \subseteq \mathsf{cone}(f_1) \cup \mathsf{cone}(f_2) \ .
$$

Let  $G = (V, E)$  denote a DAG. The graphical cone of a vertex  $v \in V$  is defined by

 $cone_G(v) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \{u \in V : deg_{in}(u) = 0 \text{ and } \exists \text{path from } u \text{ to } v\}.$ 

In a combinational circuit, every source is an input gate. This means that the graphical cone of *v* equals the set of input gates from which there exists a path to *v*.

#### Claim

*Let*  $H = (V, E, \pi)$  *denote a combinational circuit. Let*  $G = DG(H)$ *. For every vertex*  $v \in V$ *, the following holds:* 

 $cone(f_v) \subseteq cone_G(v)$ .

Namely, if  $f_v$  depends on  $x_i$ , then the input gate  $u$  that feeds the input *x*<sup>i</sup> must be in the graphical cone of *v*.

#### Claim

*Let*  $G = (V, E)$  *denote a DAG. For every*  $v \in V$ *, there exist U*  $\subset$  *V* and  $F$   $\subset$  *E* such that:

$$
T = (U, F)
$$
 is a rooted tree;

<sup>2</sup> *v is the root of T;*

 $\bullet$  cone<sub>G</sub>(*v*) *equals the set of leaves of* (*U*, *F*).

The sets *U* and *F* are constructed as follows.

- **1** Initialize  $F = \emptyset$  and  $U = \emptyset$ .
- **2** For every source *u* in *cone*<sub>G</sub>(*v*) do
	- (a) Find a path  $p_u$  from u to v.
	- (b) Let  $q_u$  denote the prefix of  $p_u$ , the vertices and edges of which are not contained in *U* or *F*.
	- (c) Add the edges of  $q_v$  to F, and add the vertices of  $q_v$  to U.

## Theorem (Linear Cost Lower Bound Theorem)

*Let*  $H = (V, E, \pi)$  *denote a combinational circuit. If the fan-in of every gate in H is at most* 2*, then*

$$
c(H) \geq \max_{v \in V} |\text{cone}(f_v)| - 1.
$$

#### **Corollary**

Let  $C_n$  *denote a combinational circuit that implements*  $OR_n$ . Then

$$
c(C_n)\geq n-1.
$$

Theorem (Logarithmic Delay Lower Bound Theorem)

*Let*  $H = (V, E, \pi)$  *denote a combinational circuit. If the fan-in of every gate in H is at most* 2*, then*

$$
t_{pd}(H) \geq \max_{v \in V} \log_2 |\text{cone}(f_v)|.
$$

#### **Corollary**

Let  $C_n$  *denote a combinational circuit that implements* OR<sub>n</sub>. Let 2 *denote the maximum fan-in of a gate in C*n*. Then*

 $t_{nd}(\mathcal{C}_n) \geq \lceil \log_2 n \rceil$ .

# Theorem (Logarithmic Delay Lower Bound Theorem)

*Let*  $H = (V, E, \pi)$  *denote a combinational circuit. If the fan-in of every gate in H is at most k, then*

$$
t_{pd}(H) \geq \max_{v \in V} \log_k |\text{cone}(f_v)|.
$$

#### **Corollary**

Let  $C_n$  *denote a combinational circuit that implements*  $OR_n$ . Let k *denote the maximum fan-in of a gate in C*n*. Then*

 $t_{\text{nd}}(C_n) \geq \lceil \log_k n \rceil$ .

- Focus on combinational circuits that have a topology of a tree with identical gates.
- **•** Trees are especially suited for computing associative Boolean functions.
- $\bullet$  Defined an OR-tree(*n*) to be a combinational circuit that implements  $OR_n$  using a topology of a tree.
- Proved that  $OR-tree(n)$  are asymptotically optimal (cost).
- Balance conditions to obtain good delay.
- General lower bounds based on *cone*(*f* ).
	- $\bullet \#$  gates in a combinational circuit implementing a Boolean function *f* must be at least  $|cone(f)| - 1$ .
	- the propagation delay of a combinational circuit implementing a Boolean function  $f$  is at least  $log_2|cone(f)|$ .